

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,016 04/20/2001		Yukihiro Kiuchi	NE+99P237A	9360
466	7590 09/14/2004	EXAMINER		
	THOMPSON	SELLERS, ROBERT E		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$(\cdot, \cdot)$		
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	1.6		
Office Action Summary		09/830,01	6	KIUCHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	,	Art Unit			
		Robert Se		1712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no eve- on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•					
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 June 2004.					
·	•	This action is no	on-final.				
'							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>26-38 and 44-47</u> is/are pending i	in the application	).				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-33,38,44 and 47</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>34-37,45 and 46</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	- , ,		• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have beer	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have beer	n received in Applicati	ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the International B	The state of the s	, ,,				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment	rie)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/10/2003</u> .	6B/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/830,016 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

This is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination and the amendment filed June 24, 2004.

- 1. Claims 26-33, 38, 44 and 47 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction requirement in the reply filed on July 29, 2003.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection over Takami et al. Patent No. 6,054,222 in view of Shimizu et al. Patent No. 5,854,316 is rescinded due to the amendment of independent claim 34 to an epoxy resin mixture of the tetraphenylolethane epoxy resin of formula (3) with a phenol-biphenyl aralkyl epoxy resin of formula (2). Takami et al. shows a biphenyl epoxy resin which was formerly within the ambit of the "epoxy resin containing a biphenyl derivative having no epoxy group" along with an epoxidized tetrakis-(hydroxyphenyl)alkane.
- 3. Tokunaga et al. Patent No. 5,798(?),400 shows a blend of biphenyl epoxy resins (col. 7, lines 61-62, epoxy resin II and Examples 14 and 15) which are relevant to withdrawn claims 30-33.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,016

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 34-37, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 4. The phrase "consisting substantially of" used to define the tetraphenylolethane epoxy resin in claim 34 (as well as withdrawn claim 26) does not clearly indicate the actual content of the epoxy resin of formula (3) within the tetraphenylolethane epoxy resin since the parameters of the term "substantially" are not denoted. The phrase "consisting essentially of" is a legally recognized term excluding components which materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed composition.
- 5. The species of fillers set forth as "selected from fillers made of fused silica, crystalline silica or silicon nitride" in claim 34 (as well as withdrawn claims 26 and 30) relies upon improper Markush language. More favorable consideration would be given to fillers "selected from the group consisting of fused silica, crystalline silica and silicon nitride."
- 6. The term "type" used to characterize the tetraphenylolethane epoxy resin of formula (3) in withdrawn claim 29 renders unclear what other species of tetraphenylolethane epoxy resin, its derivatives or modifications thereof are encompassed thereby.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,016

Art Unit: 1712

The text of section 103(a) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 34-37, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osada et al. Patent No. 6,160,078 and Japanese Patent No. 9-268219 (Japanese '219) in view of Japanese Patent Nos. 57-38814 and 58-150581 (Japanese '814 and '581, respectively).

- 7. Osada et al. (col. 1, line 60 to col. 2, line 44; col. 7, lines 37-38, 44-48 and 63-66) and Japanese '219 (translation, page 2, paragraph 6 to page 3, paragraph 10; page 7, paragraphs 38 and 39) disclose compositions comprising a phenol-biphenyl aralkyl epoxy resin conforming to claimed formula (2), a phenol-biphenyl aralkyl phenolic resin within the confines of formula (10) in a molar ratio of phenolic hydroxyl groups:epoxy groups of from 0.5:1 to 1.5:1 (Osada et al., col. 7, lines 29-33), an accelerator and silica utilized in amounts within the claimed proportion range. Osada et al. recognizes the advantage of attaining flame retardance without the addition of halogen compounds and antimony trioxide in column 1, lines 37-46 as claimed.
- 8. The mixture of the phenol-biphenyl aralkyl epoxy resin with the claimed tetraphenylolethane epoxy resin of formula (3) is not recited. Both Osada et al. (col. 4, lines 9-11) and Japanese '219 (page 6, paragraphs 33 and 34) are open to the blending of their phenol-biphenyl aralkyl epoxy resin with another epoxy resin.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,016

Art Unit: 1712

Page 5

9. Japanese '814 and '518 teach a tetraphenylolethane epoxy resin of claimed formula (3) having "improved thermal properties such as heat distortion temperature and mechanical properties (Japanese '581, second abstract, USE section)."

- 10. It would have been obvious to employ the tetraphenylolethane epoxy resin as the other epoxy resin to be blended with the phenol-biphenyl aralkyl epoxy resin of Osada et al. and Japanese '219 in order to enhance the heat distortion temperature (Japanese '814 abstract, lines 18-19).
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Japanese Patent No. 9-3161 is directed to a formulation containing a phenol-biphenyl aralkyl epoxy resin with a phenolaralkyl phenolic resin without the claimed biphenyl moieties as depicted in claimed formula (10).

(571) 272-1093 (Fax no. (703) 872-9306) Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00 EST

Any administrative inquiries can be obtained by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Published applications are available through either private or public PAIR. Unpublished applications are available via private PAIR only. Consult <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> or contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712